

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/531,824	10/10/2005	Ulrich Bardolatzy	P05,0144	3940
26574	7590 05/31/2006		EXAMINER	
SCHIFF HARDIN, LLP			LABOMBARD, RUTH NAOMI	
PATENT DI 6600 SEARS	EPARTMENT S TOWER		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO,	IL 60606-6473		2852	
			DATE MAILED: 05/31/2000	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<u> </u>				
·	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Commons	10/531,824	BARDOLATZY ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Ruth N. LaBombard	2852					
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICA	ATION. ly be timely filed IS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>18</u>	April 2005.						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ The	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
•—	-						
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 35-68 is/are pending in the applicat)⊠ Claim(s) <u>35-68</u> is/are pending in the application.						
<u> </u>	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>44-68</u> is/are allowed.	· / -						
6) Claim(s) <u>35-38 and 40-42</u> is/are rejected.	• /						
7) Claim(s) <u>39 and 43</u> is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	Vor election requirement						
of the state of th	nor croation roquiroment.						
Application Papers							
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Exami							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 18 April 2005 is/are:							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corresponding to th	= ' '						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the							
,							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		140(-) (-) (5)					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (t).					
a)⊠ All b) Some * c) None of: 1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
=	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pi		•					
application from the International Bure	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ist of the certified copies not re	eceived.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Su	mmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/6 	08) 5) D Notice of Inf	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/18/05.	6) Other:	-					

Art Unit: 2852

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

- 1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference character "22" has been used to designate both a portion of the user interface and a horizontal offset slide bar (figure 1). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended.
- 2. The drawings are objected to because reference character 100 (page 12, line 29) does not refer to a register card in figure 3. Furthermore, the drawings are objected to because the quality of the submitted images is poor and prevents proper understanding of the entire scope of claimed invention. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures.

Art Unit: 2852

3. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: 28 (page 7, lines 14 and 16), 34 (page 7, line 29) and 166 (page 14, line 15). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended.

4. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

- 5. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:
 - a. "PNV marker" (pages 7+) lacks definition.
 - b. Reference to future inventions as disclosed in the following two quotations is improper; "It will nevertheless be understood that no limitation of the scope of the invention is thereby intended, such alterations and further modifications in the illustrated device, and/or method, and such further applications of the principles of the invention as illustrated therein being contemplated as would normally occur now or in the future to one skilled in the art to which the invention relates (page 3, lines 27-32)" and "It is noted that only preferred exemplary embodiments

Art Unit: 2852

are shown and described, and all variations and modifications that presently and in the future lie within the scope of protection of the invention should be protected (page 15, lines 3-6)."

Appropriate correction is required.

6. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Claim Objections

7. Claim 39 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 39 utilizes a non-alternative grammar format while claim 38, from which claim 39 depends, utilizes an alternative grammar format. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 9. Claims 35-38 and 40-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wilson et al. (US 5,467,170). Wilson et al. discloses an "operating unit (1) for at least one electrophotographic printing or copying system, comprising: a display unit (OCP) on which a graphical user interface (JSUD) is displayed that comprises a graphical representation of at least one setting value of a parameter of a printing unit of the printing or copying system (figure 3 discloses a graphical representation of lightness

and darkness of a copy); and at least the setting value is input with aid of the user interface (B1-B8)."

- 10. With respect to claim 36, Wilson et al. discloses "an amount of the setting value can be input and output." Using the input arrows B4 a user can increase/decrease, hence input/output, the lightness/darkness of a copy.
- 11. With respect to claim 37, Wilson et al. discloses a setting value for brightness of a copy (figure 3).
- 12. With respect to claim 38, Wilson et al. discloses the setting value can be input/output with the aid of the displayed figures; an up and down arrow B4.
- 13. With respect to claim 40, Wilson et al. discloses "the setting value is shown as a bar (lighten/darken setting, figure 3) or symbol graphic, the setting value can be changed via a figure input or via graphical function data, and the bar or symbol graphic is changed dependent on an input value." When the arrows B4 are pushed, the lightness/darkness slider (centered in the graph of figure 3) moves corresponding to whether the up or down arrow is pressed, as well as how many times each is pressed. There is a value associated with every marker on the lightness/darkness graph of figure 3 and therefore by pressing the arrows a value is inputted.
- 14. With respect to claim 41, Wilson et al. discloses a "graphical user interface (JSUD) for operation of an electrophotographic printing or copying system, comprising: a graphical representation of at least one setting value of a parameter of a printing unit of the printing or copying system (figure 3 discloses a graphical representation of

Art Unit: 2852

lightness and darkness of a copy); and at least an amount of the setting value being input with aid of the user interface (B1-B8)."

15. With respect to claim 42, Wilson et al. discloses a "method for operation of at least one electrophotographic printing or coping system, comprising the steps of: displaying at least one setting value of a parameter of a printing unit of the printing or copying system (figure 3 discloses a graphical representation of lightness and darkness of a copy) via a graphical user interface (JSUD) with a graphical representation; and inputting at least the setting value via the user interface." See figure 3.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 16. Claims 39 and 43 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 17. The prior art does not disclose or suggest the claimed "setting value output with aid of a circle diagram" in combination with the remaining claim limitations as set forth in claim 39.
- 18. The prior art does not disclose or suggest the claimed "repeatedly-used setting values serving as scale values for partitioning of a scale of a graphical slide control or of a circle diagram" in combination with the remaining claim limitations as set forth in claim 43.
- 19. Claims 44-68 are allowed.
- 20. The prior art does not disclose or suggest the claimed "graphical user interface comprising a graphical representation of an amount of the same setting value of a

Art Unit: 2852

second printing unit of the printing or copying system" in combination with the remaining claim limitations as set forth in claims 44-65.

- 21. The prior art does not disclose or suggest the claimed "a graphical representation of the same setting value of a second printing unit of the printing or copying system" in combination with the remaining claim limitations as set forth in claim 66.
- 22. The prior art does not disclose or suggest the claimed "displaying a graphical representation of the same setting value of a second printing unit of the printing or copying system with aid of the graphical user interface" in combination with the remaining claim limitations as set forth in claims 67 and 68.

Conclusion

- 23. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - a. Nomura et al. (US 6,308,023) discloses an image apparatus having a multiple number of different functional modes and separate displays for the parameters of more than one image apparatus.
 - b. Arimoto et al. (US 6,329,979) discloses an image processing system with copy density being controlled by a graphical slide control.
 - c. Hardikar et al. (US 6,775,819) discloses a pie chart for monitoring data analysis parameters of a printer.
- 24. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ruth N. LaBombard whose telephone number is (571)

Art Unit: 2852

272-6430. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 7:30am - 4:00pm EST.

- 25. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Gray can be reached on (571) 272-2119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.
- 26. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

RNL 5/26/06

DAVID M. GRAY PRIMARY EXAMINER